### NATIONAL WAR COLLEGE

# LIDDELL HART'S INDIRECT APPROACH AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE GULF WAR

Ft McNair 7 Nov 91 Col P.J. McNamara Australian Army Seminar J

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	election of information is estimated to completing and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headquuld be aware that notwithstanding arome control number.	ion of information. Send comments arters Services, Directorate for Information	regarding this burden estimate or mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of th , 1215 Jefferson Davis I	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE 07 NOV 1991		2. REPORT TYPE <b>N/A</b>		3. DATES COVERED		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER		
Liddell Hart's Indirect Approach and it's Application to the Gulf War				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  National Defense University National War College Fort McNair  Washington, DC 20319				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT  Approved for public release, distribution unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES						
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	UU	8	RESFONSIBLE PERSON	

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

## LIDDELL HART'S INDIRECT APPROACH AND ITS APPLICATION TO THE GULF WAR

#### Introduction

- 1. The dreadful carnage of the Great War caused many military thinkers to review the conduct of warfare. Basil Liddell Hart had rought in the war, risen to the rank of Captain, and been one of its casualties. Eiddell Hart blamed Clausewitz, and his obsession with the Great Battle, for the stalemated var of attrition, and the willingness of Generals on both sides to commit their forces in massed frontal attacks against fortified positions. The invention of the tank and the mobility and protection it afforded assisted him to formulate a new concept for warfare since called the findirect approach.
- His ideas were seized eagerly by some of the military practitioners of the day, names like J.F.C Fuller, Charles De Gaulle, and a young George Patton. However it is ironical that his enemy of the Great War, the German Army, were best able to apply his methodology in the opening months of World War II in the form of the blitzkrieg.
- 3. I believe that the Indirect Approach is as applicable today as it was in 1939, and that its maxims were applied successfully by

the coalition forces in the Gulf War.

### The Indirect Approach

4. In contrast to the unimaginative frontal assaults of World War I, The strategy of the Indirect Approach emphasised mobility, audocity, and skill. It proposed a war of maneuver to "out think and outflank the enemy, psychologically is well as geographically, at minimum risk and minimum cost". (The latter points have become particularly pertinent in the post Vietnam era.) Rather than large massed armies it required smaller professional armies equipped with the latest technology(a perfect description of the forces of the coalition). Concentration for Liddell Hart meant concentration of strength against weakness not the massing of armies. To assist in the application of his strategy he developed eight Maxims. The aim of this paper is to apply these Maxims to the Coalition Strategy in the Gulf War, and discuss the influence of technology as a force multiplier in that war.

## Maxim 1:Adjust your Ends to your Means

5. When Iraq invaded Kuwait on 2 Aug 90 the means available to oppose the invasion were very limited, the remnants of the Kuwaiti Army, the Saudi Arabian Armed Forces, and US ready reaction forces that could be quickly airlifted in (after Saudi approval was

given). Arrayed against these forces was Saddam Hussein's powerful army, concentrated on the Kuwait and Southern Iraq border with Saudi Arabia. The allied strategy had to be detensive in posture, and to quickly deploy sufficient forces to deter the Iraqi's from continuing their attack into Saudi Arabia. Air assets which could be speedily deployed were positioned to overcome lack of manpower on the ground and bolster the defensive firepower available.

6. Naval forces, also capable of speedy deployment, enabled the economic instrument of policy, the sea blockade, to be enforced.

7. I believe that the phasing of the offensive operation was a prime example of matching ends with means. When the deadline expired insufficient ground forces were in position for a ground oriensive and the Iraqi forces were still strong, so the available assets, airpower and artillery were used to strike at strategic and tactical targets to weaken the enemy. While this also fits Maxims 4 and 7, it was matching means to ends. The Ground War was launched when General Schwartzkopf was happy that the enemy had been sufficiently weakened and the necessary means were available.

### Maxim 2: Keep your Object Always in Mind

8. The most important application of this Maxim was at the very highest strategic level, the overall objective of the War. This was the ejection of Iraqi forces from Kuwait. To prosecute the War

a coalition of nations including Arab countries was formed. The Arabs joined the coalition because of Iraq's aggression and were keen to see Kuwait liberated but not the annihilation of the Iraqi people.

9. This objective was foremost in the minds of the major players. Civilian casualties were minimised, and when the fraqi forces had been driven from Kuwait, admittedly with enough damage inflicted on them to dease their effectiveness as a fighting force, the War was terminated. There has been subsequent criticism that the War was stopped too early, that Baghdad should have been occupied and Hussein killed or captured. I strongly believe that strict adherence to the objective of the War preserved the coalition, helped gain world acceptance of the actions taken, and preserved some form or power balance in a relatively unstable region.

#### Maxim 3: Choose the Line or Course of Least Expectation

10. After occupying Kuwait, the Iraqi's expected any offensive against them would be directed mainly at their forces in Kuwait. They therefore based their defensive posture on the Kuwaiti seaboard and the Kuwaiti/Saudi border. Their reserve, the Revolutionary Guard, was positioned on the Iraq/ Kuwaiti border to respond to attacks from either the sea or from Saudi Arabia. This was their strength. As allied forces arrived in theatre they were initially aligned against this strength, concentrated on the

we once the offensive war began and the grounded or destroyed large forces were then struck deep into Iraq against little te Iraqi forces, draw them out of their eat them in detail.

instrated by the lies according to ainst Israel and riority targets.

Ly reduced their

in an amphibious attack by Marines was attach of a strong amphibious force in the equipment of the attack was never

.st your Opponent

#### of Least Resistance

themline of least resistance was, as so the of least expectation, so the planning above are equally applicable.

y this maxim. By
well dug in and
ir offensive was
the fragis off
psychologically,

the fraqu Air Force was no longer a still an anti-aircraft missile and gun had clear air superiority. This was with increases in the number of sorties coverage of targets. The air offensive aqu's both psychologically (very important and logistically, and contributed greatly start by fraquiforces against the ground

ity,
, control, and

ilities,

eavy actiliery),

18. The unrelenting bombing and the successful interdiction of their supply lines made the Traqi soldiers prime targets for the coalition psychological warfare campaign. Many of the soldiers that surrendered had copies of the coalition propaganda pamphlets in their pockets. By the time the ground war started most Iraqi units had lost the will to fight.

## Maxim 8:Do not Renew an Attack Along the Same Line After it has once Failed

19. As there were no unsuccessful attacks this maxim thankfully did not have to be applied.

#### Technology and the Indirect Approach

- 10. As mentioned previously in paragraph 4 Liddell Hart was a great believer in using technology as a force multiplier to overcome numerical deficiencies. The Gulf War provided a perfect example of this.
- 21. Stealth technology allowed the F117-A to operate night after night against targets protected by over 3,000 anti miscraft guns and 60 surface to air missiles without losing a single aircraft. Anti-radar bombs, and precision strikes against command and control centres and aircraft shelters reduced the Iraqi Airforce to early impotency. Terminally guided munitions in the form of

Tomahawk cruise missiles or laser guided bombs allowed an intense bombing campaign against important targets in Baghdad. This severely disrupted the Iraqi armed forces without causing heavy civilian casualties which, as outlined earlier, may have disrupted coalition unity. At the tactical level the terminally guided munitions caused heavy losses of tanks and artillery, further decreasing the Iraqi 's morale.

#### Conclusion

22. I have always thought that Liddell Hart's Indirect Approach was really a fancy way of saying "Fight Smart ". Use all your own strengths against your enemy's weaknesses to overwhelm him with minimum losses to your own men and equipment. His Maxims were successfully applied in the Gulf War and the results speak for themselves. Whilst the next war, if and when it happens, may be against a force with higher technology levels, better leadership, and with a stronger cause to fight for, the Indirect Approach should form the basis of our strategy.

9